



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/824,905	4	04/02/2001	Sharat Singh	0225-0033.22	2421	
33603	7590	09/20/2004		EXAMINER		
ACLARA B		NCES, INC.		TUNG,	JOYCE	
MOUNTAIN				0225-0033.22 242 EXAMINER TUNG, JOYCE	PAPER NUMBER	
	ĺ			1637		
				DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,			
	09/824,905	SINGH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-			
,	Joyce Tung	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	-	vith the correspondence address -	•			
iod for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ally within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
tus						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 J</u>	luly 2004.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits	s is			
closed in accordance with the practice under		• 🔥				
position of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-24</u> is/are pending in the application	nn					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will from consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
	·					
plication Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	,					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,	14 (4)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· / /	• • •	` '			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form PTO-152	. .			
ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	<u>*</u>	n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	t received.				
chment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					
lent and Trademark Office 326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 914	12004			

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

The applicant's response filed July 1, 2004 has been entered. Claims 11-24 are pending.

1. Claims 11-23 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grossman et al. (5,470,705) in view of Kline et al. (5,459,078).

Grossman et al. disclose a method of detecting a plurality of different sequences in a target sequence involving a plurality of sequence probes (See column 2, lines 54-56). The probe comprises the features of the e-tag probe as claimed in claims 11-23. The probe includes a binding polymer, a polymer chain that imparts to that probe, a distinctive ratio of charge/transnational frictional drag and a reporter attached to the binding polymer (See column 20, lines 52-57). It suggests that the probe has a charge. The binding polymer is an oligonucleotide including at least 10-20 bases allowing hybridization to the target polynucleotide (See column 6, lines 66-67 and column 7, lines 1-10). Other binding polymers are analogs of polynucleotides, such as deoxynucleotides with thiophosphodiester linkage (See column 7, lines 11-19). The polymer chain has a ratio of charge/translational frictional drag, which is evidenced by a distinctive electrophoretic mobility in a non-sieving matrix (See column 7, lines 50-64). The polymer chain can be polyethylene oxide (PEO) or a polypeptide chain where the chains are attached to different-sequence binding polymers (See column 3, lines 11-18). The teachings suggest that the charge/translational frictional drag is consisted of carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, phosphorus, nitrogen, sulfur and boron as recited in claim 12. The label refers to a fluorophore or chromophore (See column 6, lines 39-44). The features of Grossman et al.'s probe suggest the features of the claimed e-tag probe.

Art Unit: 1637

Grossman et al do not disclose the kit comprising a capture agent and a plurality of electrophoretic probe in which the oligonucleotide portion is attached with a capture ligand which specifically binds to a capture agent that has a charge opposite to that of e-tag reporters. The capture ligand is biotin and capture agent is avidin or streptavidin.

Kline et al. disclose a competitive digoxin assay method (See the Abstract) and a kit comprising the assay device with its incorporated reagents (See column 29, lines 50-56). A test sample suspected of containing the analyte of interest may be contacted with the capture reagent to form a charged capture reagent/analyte complex. The complex is then contacted to the oppositely charged solid phase to attract and immobilize the capture reagent/analyte complex (See the Abstract). The test sample can be derived from any desired source (See column 8, lines 5-18). The analyte can be any substance for which there exists a naturally occurring specific binding member or for which a specific binding member can be prepared (See column 8, lines 19-32). The specific binding pair can be biotin and avidin, and complementary nucleotide sequences including probe and capture nucleic acid sequence used in DNA hybridization assays to detect a target nucleic acid sequence (See column 7, lines 37-53).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to apply the binding pair biotin and avidin to the nucleic acid probe of Grossman to make the electrophoretic probes for detecting the presence of absence of one or more of a plurality nucleic sequence in a sample. Kline et al. disclose that the invention is not limited to immunoreactive assay and any assays using specific binding reactions between the analyte and assay reagents can be performed (See column 7, lines 28-33) and the ion-capture technique increases the potential number of complexes that can be immobilized on a solid support. One of the ordinary skill in the art would

Art Unit: 1637

have also been motivated to make the kit comprising all elements as taught by Kline et al. because it was also routine practice in the art for conveniently performing the method. It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to make the kit comprising the capture reagent and a plurality of electrophoretic probes with a capture ligand for detecting the presence or absence of one or more of a plurality nucleic acid sequence in a sample.

The response argues that Kline et al. disclose an immunoassay employing a soluble capture reagent comprising multiple binding compounds, such as analyte-specific antibodies bound to an anionic polymer, in Kline, a charged capture reagent is combined with an oppositely charge solid phase to remove binding compound-analyte complexes from a reaction mixture for detection, whereas in Applicant's invention, charged capture agents are combined with unreacted electrophoretic probes and cleavage products thereof to give them a charge opposites of that of released eTag reporters so that they are not electrophoretically separated together. However, although the goals for using the charged opposite to capture a subject is different, but the motivation of using the charged opposite to capture a subject is the same as set forth in the Office action as that the ion-capture technique increases the potential number of complexes that can be immobilized on a solid support. Thus, it would have been prima facie obvious to make the plurality of electrophoretic probes in the kit with a capture ligand for detecting the presence or absence of one or more of a plurality nucleic acid sequence in a sample. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

2. Claim 24 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grossman et al. (5,470,705) In view of Kline et al. (5,459,078), as applied to claims 11-23 above, and further in view of Pullman et al. (6,251,581B1 (2001))

Art Unit: 1637

The teachings of Grossman et al. and Kline et al. are set forth in section 2 above.

Grossman et al. and Kline et al. do not disclose the detectable labels, which are the compounds, listed in claim 24.

Ullman et al. disclose a method for determining an analyte in a medium (See the Abstract). The method applies a chemiluminescent compound associated with a specific binding pair member (See column 4, lines 54-65 and column 5, lines 8-14). The compound has the same structure as the compound listed in claim 24 (See column 42-58).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would have been motivated to apply the chemiluminescent compound of Ullman et al. to the probe of Grossman et al. in order to construct the set of electrophoretic tag probe of instant invention. Ullman et al. disclose a chemiluminescent compound to bind to a specific binding pair complex so that the detection may be performed without heating the medium to produce light and conducted at a constant temperature (See column 7, lines 28-31). If the analytes are nucleic acid, by avoiding heating, the nucleic acid analytes would not be inactivated and thus the sensitivity of the method is increased. It would have been prima facie obvious to apply the fluorescent molecules to the electrophoretic release tag to construct the plurality of electrophoretic probe to avoid inactivating nucleic acid analytes. Thus it would have been prima facie obvious to apply the fluorescent molecules to the electrophoretic release tag to construct the plurality of electrophoretic probe.

As set forth in section 1 above, the rejection of claims 11-23 over Grossman (5,470,705) in view of Kline et al. (5459078) is maintained.

The response argues that the kit of applicants' invention comprises pluralities of such compound that form distinct peaks in an electropherogram upon electrophoretic separation, while

Art Unit: 1637

the Ullman et al.'s objective is to provide a homogeneous assay based solely on optical detection. However, all fluorescent compounds are used in optical detection. Thus, it would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to apply the fluorescent molecules to the e-tag reporter to construct the plurality of electrophoretic tag probe in the kit. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Summary

- 3. No claims are allowable.
- 4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (703) 305-7112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM-4:30 PM.

Art Unit: 1637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached at (703) 308-1119 on Monday-Friday from 10:00 AM-6:00 PM.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical/Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

6. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 1637 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 using (703) 305-3014 or 308-4242. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice.

Joyce Tung

September 15, 2004

KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

9/16/04